



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,116	07/23/2001	Mirmajid Seyyedy	2777.4US (95-1024.3)	2908
24247	7590	08/25/2004	EXAMINER	
TRASK BRITT P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110				GRAYBILL, DAVID E
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2827

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Response to Rule 312 Communication	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/911,116	SEYYEDY, MIRMAJID	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David E Graybill	2827	<i>AN</i>

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. The amendment filed on 29 October 2003 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

- a) entered.
- b) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.
- c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.
Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.
- d) disapproved. See explanation below.
- e) entered in part. See explanation below.

The amendments to the specification and abstract are entered.

The amendment to the claims is not entered because more than a cursory review of the record is necessary. Also, the amendment does not comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.111(c) (MPEP § 714.02) with respect to pointing out the patentable novelty of any claim sought to be amended, and the remarks accompanying the amendment do not fully and clearly state the reasons on which reliance is placed to show:

- (A) why the amendment is needed;
- (B) why the proposed amended or new claims require no additional search or examination;
- (C) why the claims are patentable; and
- (D) why they were not presented earlier.

DAVID E. GRAYBILL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

David E Graybill
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 2822